Original Research Article

Demographic and clinical profile of oral lichen planus in patients attending a tertiary care hospital in North East India

Deep Kaushik¹, Bhaskar Gupta^{1,*}¹Dept. of Dermatology, Venereology and Leprosy, Silchar Medical College and Hospital, Silchar, Assam, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 07-01-2021

Accepted 28-01-2021

Available online 22-02-2021

Keywords:

Clinical features

Diagnosis

Lichen Planus

Oral Mucosa

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Oral Lichen Planus (OLP) is a common, chronic condition involving the oral mucosa. The clinical presentations of OLP range from severe painful erosions and ulcerations to mild painless white, keratotic lesions. The buccal mucosa is the most commonly affected site and the involvement is usually bilateral. It is comparatively more common and persistent than the cutaneous form, resulting in considerable morbidity and discomfort for the patient. One of the most serious complications relating to the progression and prognosis of OLP is the development of oral squamous cell carcinoma, which resulted in the World Health Organization (WHO) classifying OLP as a potentially malignant disorder.

Objective: To study the demographic distribution and clinical profile of 50 OLP patients visiting a tertiary care hospital in the north-eastern part of India.

Materials and Methods: A group of 50 patients, comprising of 35 females and 15 males, diagnosed with OLP as per the clinical criteria of the WHO clinical definition of OLP were included in this study.

Results: Among the 50 patients, M:F ratio was 0.42:1. Buccal mucosa was the most common site of OLP occurrence (96%), whereas, the reticular variant of OLP was the most common form (78%), followed by the erosive variant (16%) and the atrophic variant (6%). The incidence of systemic diseases included Hypertension (15%), Diabetes Mellitus (4%) and Hypothyroidism (2%). Histopathologically, epithelial dysplasia was seen in 2 cases.

Conclusion: Most of the results of this study have been found to be in concordance with previous findings, with differences in a few. Since Lichen Planus is a chronic disease, treatment protocols are mainly directed at regulating the symptoms. Long-term follow up is usually very successful in detecting symptomatic aggravation and possible progression into malignancy.

© This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

1. Introduction

Lichen Planus (LP), the most typical and best characterized lichenoid dermatosis, is an idiopathic inflammatory skin disease affecting the skin and mucosal membranes, often with a chronic course with relapses and periods of remission.¹ LP might affect any mucosal surface, although the most commonly involved are the genital mucosa and oral mucosa.² Oral Lichen Planus (OLP) is one of the most common, chronic conditions involving the oral mucosa. Lesions confined to the mouth, or with minimal

accompanying cutaneous involvement, account for about 15% of all cases.¹ Although the exact etiology is undefined, it is believed that the immune system plays a significant role in the pathogenesis of this disease – mainly by the CD8⁺ lymphocytes to antigens on the lesional keratinocytes.^{3,4} The age of onset of the disease has generally been found to be between 3rd and 6th decade of life and it is commonly seen in the Asian population.^{5,6} The clinical course of OLP lesions is such that they last for many years with alternating periods of exacerbation and remission, with an increase in pain and erythema or with development of ulcerated areas during the phase of exacerbation.⁷ Phases of exacerbation have been associated with periods of mental stress, anxiety

*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: dr_bhaskargupta10@rediffmail.com (B. Gupta).

as well as mechanical trauma (Koebner Phenomenon). Low-intensity irritation for a prolonged period may increase the severity of OLP and this is considered as the Koebner phenomenon. Other factors include mechanical trauma from odontological procedures, friction due to sharp points such as dentures, dental amalgam, heat and cigarette irritants, oral habits like chewing gum, betel nut etc.⁸

The clinical symptoms might range from mild, painless white, keratotic lesions to severe, painful erosions and ulcerations.⁹ The buccal mucosa is the most frequently affected site and the involvement is usually bilateral.⁸ As classified by Andreasen, clinically, OLP may occur in six clinical variants – reticular, papular, plaque-like, erosive, atrophic and bullous.¹⁰ The most common type is the reticular form and it mostly presents as papules and plaques with interlacing white keratotic lines (Wickham striae) with an erythematous border.¹¹ Although clinically, the presentation in certain patients may consist of diffuse and widespread reticulated lesions, they are usually asymptomatic with the patient often unaware of the existence of such lesions. A considerable amount of discomfort and erythema is associated, especially with the erosive form of OLP. There is considerable variation in the size, site and the number of ulcerations that are present in various cases. At times, a bulla may be present in the erosive form as they rupture easily.¹² The remission is not spontaneous in case of erosive OLP, and as such and owing to the similarity it may lead to diagnostic confusion with other autoimmune mucosal, vesiculo-erosive diseases. The most frequent intraoral site of involvement is the posterior part of buccal mucosa followed by the tongue, gingiva, labial mucosa, and vermillion of the lower lip.^{13–15} It has been found that, OLP affects from 0.1 to about 4% of individuals, occurring mostly in middle aged adults, with a female predominance at a ratio of approximately 2:1.^{16,17} It has been seen that approximately 15% of patients with OLP develop cutaneous lesions and genital lesions have been found to be present in 20% of the patients with OLP.^{18,19} One of the most severe complications relating to the progression and prognosis of OLP is the development of oral squamous cell carcinoma with a frequency of malignant transformation of 0.4–5.3%,²⁰ which resulted in the World Health Organization (WHO) classifying OLP as a potentially malignant disorder.²¹

The demographic and clinical features of OLP have been well defined in several relatively large series from developed countries,^{10,13,14,22–24} as well as from other parts of India.^{8,11,25,26} whereas such studies from the north-eastern region of India are scarce. Besides, there are no universally accepted, specific clinical and histopathological diagnostic criteria till date while other disorders such as erythroplakia, leukoplakia etc. can present with a similar clinical appearance.

The objective of this retrospective study was to study the demographic pattern and clinical profile of 50 OLP patients attending a tertiary care hospital in north-eastern India and to describe the various similarities and differences in clinical features presented by these patients relative to those in the previous studies.

2. Materials and Methods

A descriptive study was carried out in 50 patients diagnosed with OLP attending the Department of Dermatology, Venereology and Leprosy, Silchar Medical College in the period from July 2019 to June 2020. Patients selected for the present study were within the age of 20–70 years. The study was conducted after getting clearance from the Institutional Ethical Committee.

The diagnosis of OLP was made as per the criterion specified by the WHO as follows:

3. WHO Clinical Definition of OLP²⁷

3.1. Clinical criteria

1. Presence of Bilateral Lesions
2. Presence of a network of slightly raised grayish white striae (reticular form)
3. Erosive, atrophic, bullous or plaque-like lesions (accepted as subtypes only in the presence of reticular lesions in some part of oral mucosa)

Biopsies were acquired from some of the lesions, in which the patient consented for histopathological examination and in suspicious instances of erosive type of OLP and in cases of malignancy. Information regarding age, gender, symptoms, sites of oral involvement, predominant clinical form (reticular, atrophic and erosive) at the time of initial diagnosis of OLP, were all recorded. A detailed history was acquired with relation to smoking, alcohol intake, tobacco and 'guthkha' chewing and systemic diseases. Family history (i.e., in first degree relatives) of OLP and oral cancer were also reviewed and analyzed. In patients with more than one clinical form of lesions, the most severe form was used to classify the lesions.

4. Results

In the current study, 50 patients diagnosed with OLP who visited the Department of Dermatology, Venereology and Leprosy, were selected. Out of these patients, there were 15 male patients and 35 female patients (ratio M:F = 0.42:1) [Table 1 Diagram 1].

The variants of OLP that we came across in our study comprised of reticular form, erosive form and atrophic form. In our study, the most common variant of OLP that was observed was the reticular type, which was found in 39 patients, followed by the erosive variant in 8 patients and the atrophic variant in 3 patients. The reticular form was

present in 10 out of 15 male patients, and in 29 out of 35 female patients, whereas the erosive form was found to be present in 4 out of 15 male patients and in 4 out of 35 female patients. The atrophic form was present in 1 male patient and 2 female patients, respectively [Table 2Diagram 2].

Multiple sites in the oral cavity were found to be affected, with the most common site affected being the buccal mucosa followed by gingiva and tongue. Isolated lesions involving the floor of mouth, palate and labial mucosa were also present [Table 3Diagram 3] [Figure 1 A-E].

History of tobacco usage, ‘guthkha’ chewing, alcohol intake and smoking were reported in 21(42%), 12(24%), 6(12%) and 3 (6%) cases, respectively. No history of oral cancer or family history of OLP could be elicited in our study subjects. The occurrence of systemic diseases included Hypertension (15%), Diabetes Mellitus (4%) and Hypothyroidism (2%).

A history of skin lesions was found to be present in 3(6%) patients. Histopathologically, epithelial dysplasia was present in 2 cases. No cases of any malignant changes were detected in this study [Figure 2].

Diagram 1: Age distribution of subjects involved in the study.

Diagram 2: Intra-oral distribution of OLP lesions according to clinical type

Diagram 3: Distribution of OLP lesions according to site

Fig. 1: A-E: Clinical presentation of OLP encountered in the study

Fig. 2: Histological features seen in OLP

Table 1: Age and sex distribution of patients involved in the study

Age Group (years)	Male	Female	Total	Percentage (%)
20-30	3	5	8	16
31-40	3	9	12	24
41-50	6	10	16	32
51-60	2	8	10	20
61-70	1	3	4	8

Table 2: Distribution of OLP lesions according to clinical type

Clinical variant	Male	Female	Total (%)
Reticular	10	29	39 (78%)
Erosive	4	4	8 (16%)
Atrophic	1	2	3 (6%)

Table 3: Intra-oral distribution of OLP lesions according to site

Site	Number of patients	Percentage (%)
Buccal mucosa	48	96%
Gingiva	12	24%
Labial mucosa	7	14%
Tongue	10	20%
Palate	9	18%
Floor of mouth	7	14%

5. Discussion

The current descriptive study attempts to elucidate the epidemiological and clinical characteristics of OLP patients in a relatively small population belonging to the north-eastern part of India. In this study, the demographic pattern and clinical profile of OLP patients were recorded. The data obtained from the present study is in coherence with the data from other such studies, with respect to clinical features and presentation, symptoms reported by the patients, duration of the disease and relevant medical history. It was also observed that the occurrence of OLP was more common in females than in males, which is in accordance with majority of the studies conducted in the past.²⁸ LP primarily affects middle aged adults with the prevalence being greater in women than in men. Our study showed the prevalence of OLP in the 5th decade of life, which is slightly lower than the age group reported in various studies and slightly more than some of the other reported studies.^{15,29} The intraoral lesions that we encountered during our study were characteristically bilateral and symmetrical, with the buccal mucosa being the most common site of involvement followed by gingiva, which is consistent with most of the literature from the past.^{15,29,30}

We detected the presence of associated pigmentation of the oral mucosa with the reticular variant of OLP in 13 (26%) cases of our study. This observation could be attributed to the prevalent habit of chewing guthkha, tobacco and betel nut among the local population. The pigmentation was present in patches, which were mainly brownish-black in color and was present mainly on the buccal mucosa.

Rarely, other sites were also involved such as hard palate, gingivae and tongue. Similar findings have been observed in some other Indian studies.^{25,31}

36 cases (72%) complained of discomfort of some degree in the form of a burning sensation with aggravation on consumption of spicy or hot foods and liquids, pain and soreness, which has also been reported in other studies.^{14,22}

Histopathologically, epithelial dysplasia was found to be present in 2 cases. No malignant transformation was observed in our study. These observations are in concordance with studies conducted by Andreasen and Murti et al.^{10,26}

Incidence of history of systemic diseases including Hypertension (15%), Diabetes Mellitus (4%) and Hypothyroidism (2%), was not higher than expected when compared with incidence of the aforementioned systemic diseases in the general population. The incidence of these systemic diseases was lower than that found in previous studies^{5,12,19,22} indicating that systemic diseases may not have a role in the pathogenesis of OLP.

The cutaneous and genital involvement of LP can sometimes precede, arise concurrently or can appear after the development of OLP. In the present study, 3 patients (6%) had a history of developing skin lesions. According to a study conducted by Silverman S. Jr. et al. it is estimated that 20-34% of patients diagnosed with OLP have cutaneous or other mucosal lesions of LP.¹⁴

6. Conclusion

The present study throws light on the clinical and epidemiological characteristics in a small group of patients diagnosed with OLP from north-eastern India. As discussed above, majority of the characteristics are in agreement with previously conducted studies while a few are not. Since LP is a chronic condition, treatment protocols are mainly directed at regulating the symptoms. Immunosuppressants and anti-inflammatory agents are the mainstay of management. Long-term follow-up goes a long way in detecting symptomatic aggravation and possible development of malignant changes.

7. Source of Funding

No financial support was received for the work within this manuscript.

8. Conflict of Interest

The authors declare they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Piguet V, Breathnach SM, Cleach LL. Lichen planus and lichenoid disorders. Rook's Textbook of Dermatology. In: 9th Edn.. vol. 15; 2016. p. 1–28.
- Kang S. Fitzpatrick's Dermatology, 2-Volume Set (EBOOK). 2018;.
- Sugerman PB, Savage NW, Walsh LJ, Zhao ZZ, Zhou XJ, Khan A, et al. The Pathogenesis of Oral Lichen Planus. *Crit Rev Oral Biol Med*. 2002;13(4):350–65. doi:10.1177/154411130201300405.
- Lodi G, Scully C, Carrozzo M, Griffiths M, Sugerman PB, Thongprasom K, et al. Current controversies in oral lichen planus: Report of an international consensus meeting. Part 1. Viral infections and etiopathogenesis. *Oral Surg, Oral Med, Oral Pathol, Oral Radiol, Endodontol*. 2005;100(1):40–51. doi:10.1016/j.tripleo.2004.06.077.
- Alam F, Hamburger J. Oral mucosal lichen planus in children. *Int J Paediatr Dent*. 2001;11(3):209–14. doi:10.1046/j.1365-263x.2001.00266.x.
- Laeyendecker R, Joost TV, Tank B, Oranje AP, Neumann HAM. Oral Lichen Planus in Childhood. *Pediatr Dermatol*. 2005;22(4):299–304. doi:10.1111/j.1525-1470.2005.22403.x.
- Ismail SB, Kumar SKS, Zain RB. Oral lichen planus and lichenoid reactions: etiopathogenesis, diagnosis, management and malignant transformation. *J Oral Sci*. 2007;49(2):89–106. doi:10.2334/josnusd.49.89.
- Tak MM, Chalkoo AH. Demographic, clinical profile of oral lichen planus and its possible correlation with thyroid disorders: A case-control study. *Int J Scientific Stud*. 2015;3(8):19–23.
- Scully C, Carrozzo M. Oral mucosal disease: Lichen planus. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2008;46(1):15–21. doi:10.1016/j.bjoms.2007.07.199.
- Andreassen JO. Oral lichen planus. *Oral Surg, Oral Med, Oral Pathol*. 1968;25(1):31–42. doi:10.1016/0030-4220(68)90194-1.
- Munde AD, Karle RR, Wankhede PK, Shaikh SS, Kulkarni M. Demographic and clinical profile of oral lichen planus: A retrospective study. *Contemp Clin Dent*. 2013;4(2):181. doi:10.4103/0976-237x.114873.
- Thorn JJ, Holmstrup P, Rindum J, Pindborg JJ. Course of various clinical forms of oral lichen planus. A prospective follow-up study of 611 patients. *J Oral Pathol Med*. 1988;17(5):213–8. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0714.1988.tb01527.x.
- Eisen D. The clinical features, malignant potential, and systemic associations of oral lichen planus: a study of 723 patients. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2002;46(2):207–14.
- Silverman S, Gorsky M, Lozada-Nur F. A prospective follow-up study of 570 patients with oral lichen planus: Persistence, remission, and malignant association. *Oral Surg, Oral Med, Oral Pathol*. 1985;60:30–4. doi:10.1016/0030-4220(85)90210-5.
- Bagán-Sebastián JV, Milián-Masanet MA, Peñarrocha-Diago M, Jiménez Y. A clinical study of 205 patients with oral lichen planus. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 1992;50(2):116–8. doi:10.1016/0278-2391(92)90354-3.
- Scully C, Beyli M, Ferreira MC, Ficarra G, Gill Y, Griffiths M, et al. Update On Oral Lichen Planus: Etiopathogenesis and Management. *Crit Rev Oral Biol Med*. 1998;9(1):86–122. doi:10.1177/10454411980090010501.
- Kragelund C, Thomsen CE, Bardow A, Pedersen AM, Nauntofte B, Reibel J, et al. Oral lichen planus and intake of drugs metabolized by polymorphic cytochrome P450 enzymes. *Oral Dis*. 2003;9:177–87. doi:10.1034/j.1601-0825.2003.02892.x.
- Farhi D, Dupin N. Pathophysiology, etiologic factors, and clinical management of oral lichen planus, part I: facts and controversies. *Clin Dermatol*. 2010;28(1):100–8. doi:10.1016/j.clindermatol.2009.03.004.
- Edwards PC, Kelsch R. Oral lichen planus: clinical presentation and management. *J Can Dent Assoc*. 2002;68:494–9.
- Eisen D. The evaluation of cutaneous, genital, scalp, nail, esophageal, and ocular involvement in patients with oral lichen planus. *Oral Surg, Oral Med, Oral Pathol, Oral Radiol, Endodontol*. 1999;88(4):431–6.
- Shi P, Liu W, Zhou ZT, He QB, Jiang WW. Podoplanin and ABCG2: malignant transformation risk markers for oral lichen planus. *Cancer Epidemiol Prev Biomark*. 2010;19(3):844–9.
- Ingafou M, Leao JC, Porter SR, Scully C. Oral lichen planus: a retrospective study of 690 British patients. *Oral Dis*. 2006;12(5):463–8. doi:10.1111/j.1601-0825.2005.01221.x.
- Gandolfo S, Richiardi L, Carrozzo M, Brocchetto R, Carbone M, Pagano M, et al. Risk of oral squamous cell carcinoma in 402 patients with oral lichen planus: a follow-up study in an Italian population. *Oral Oncol*. 2004;40(1):77–83. doi:10.1016/s1368-8375(03)00139-8.
- Carbone M, Arduino PG, Carrozzo M, Gandolfo S, Argiolas MR, Bertolusso G, et al. Course of oral lichen planus: a retrospective study of 808 northern Italian patients. *Oral Dis*. 2009;15:235–43. doi:10.1111/j.1601-0825.2009.01516.x.
- Kanwar AJ, Ghosh S, Dhar S, Kaur S. Oral Lesions of Lichen Planus. *Int J Dermatol*. 1993;32(1):76. doi:10.1111/j.1365-4362.1993.tb00982.x.
- Murti PR, Daftary DK, Bhonsle RB, Gupta PC, Mehta FS, Pindborg JJ, et al. Malignant potential of oral lichen planus: observations in 722 patients from India. *J Oral Pathol Med*. 1986;15(2):71–7. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0714.1986.tb00580.x.
- González-García A, Diniz-Freitas M, Gándara-Vila P, Blanco-Carrión A, García-García A, Gándara-Rey JM. Triamcinolone acetonide mouth rinses for treatment of erosive oral lichen planus: efficacy and risk of fungal over-infection. *Oral Diseases*. 2006;12(6):559–565. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-0825.2006.01238.x>. doi:10.1111/j.1601-0825.2006.01238.x.
- Brown RS, Bottomley WK, Puente E, Lavigne GJ. A retrospective evaluation of 193 patients with oral lichen planus. *J Oral Pathol Med*. 1993;22(2):69–72. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0714.1993.tb00046.x.
- Carrozzo M, Gandolfo S. The management of oral lichen planus. *Oral Dis*. 1999;5(3):196–205.
- Bagán JV, Aguirre JM, del Olmo J, Milián A, Peñarrocha M, Rodrigo JM, et al. Oral lichen planus and chronic liver disease: A clinical and morphometric study of the oral lesions in relation to transaminase elevation. *Oral Surg, Oral Med, Oral Pathol*. 1994;78(3):337–42. doi:10.1016/0030-4220(94)90065-5.
- Chainani-Wu N, Silverman SO, Lozada-Nur FR, Mayer P, Watson JJ. Oral lichen planus: patient profile, disease progression and treatment responses. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2001;132(7):901–9.

Author biography

Deep Kaushik, 2nd Year Post Graduate Student

Bhaskar Gupta, Professor and HOD

Cite this article: Kaushik D, Gupta B. Demographic and clinical profile of oral lichen planus in patients attending a tertiary care hospital in North East India. *IP Indian J Clin Exp Dermatol* 2021;7(1):24-29.